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# THE MALE GENITALIA OF BLATTARIA. <br> I. BLABERUS SPP. (BLABERIDAE: BLABERINAE) 

By Louis M. Roth<br>Pioneering Research Laboratory<br>U. S. Army Natick Laboratories<br>Natick, Massachusetts Oi 760

"Like many other genera the forms of which are variable and the specific features hard to ascertain and express, the genus Blaberus has been a despair to the systematist." (Rehn and Hebard, 1927). The use of male genitalia, specifically the aedeagus and preputial spines, has helped to alleviate some of the taxonomic difficulties of several species of Blaberus. Burmeister ( 1838 ; in Princis, 1946) first mentioned the preputial spines in Blaberus trapezoideus Burmeister and Hebard (1917) described them in Blaberus craniifer Burmeister and B. atropos (Stoll). Princis (1946) illustrated the aedeagus and prepuce of the following species of Blaberus: giganteus (Linn.), trapezoideus, craniifer, atropos, discoidalis Serville, parabolicus Walker, anisitsi Brancsik, and boliviensis Princis. Lefeuvre (1960) illustrated the genitalia of craniifer, Quiaoit (1961) described them for craniifer and giganteus, and McKittrick (1964) illustrated discoidalis.

With the exception of Princis (1946) and Lefeuvre (1960), intraspecific variations were not mentioned by the above workers. I have found considerable more variation in Blaberus genitalia than was indicated by Princis and Lefeuvre. In this paper I shall illustrate the male genitalia of 12 species of Blaberus, describe group and specific differences, including intraspecific variations, and discuss the probable evolution of the aedeagus and prepuce in this genus.

## Materials and Methods

The following 5 species of Blaberus were available in cultures:
craniifer, giganteus, parabolicus, atropos, and discoidalis. In addition I have examined the genitalia of museum specimens of these species as well as those of $B$. colosseus (Illiger), B. brasilianus Saussure, B. minor Saussure, B. fusiformis Walker, B. scutatus Saussure and Zehntner, B. anisitsi Brancsik, and B. boliviensis Princis. Of the 14 Blaberus listed by Princis (i963), assellus (Thunb.) and latissimus (Herbst) were described from nymphs and are questionable species. For reasons given below, I consider Blaberus colosseus, which Hebard ( i92I) synonymized with B. giganteus, a valid species and B. trapezoideus a synonym of $B$. craniifer.

As suggested by Princis (1946) the tips of the abdomens of dried specimens were dipped in hot water for about a minute, or the specimens were placed in a relaxing chamber. Once softened, the abdomen was slit along the lateral membranes and the genitalia were removed usually without serious damage to the subgenital or supra anal plates. All specimens were treated with $10 \% \mathrm{KOH}$, cleared, and mounted in Permount. The hooked right phallomeres were mounted ventral side up and phallomeres $L_{i}$ and L2d were mounted dorsal side uppermost. The preparations of the prepuce were spread and flattened to show the spines. This should be taken into account when examining the illustrations. Normally the prepuce partly envelopes L2d (see Fig. I2 I in McKittrick's 1964 monograph which illustrates the folding of the prepuce in $B$. discoidalis).

Although the principal genitalic characters used are L2d and the prepuce, I have also included photographs of $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ for comparative purposes. Although these 2 phallomeres are very similar or have minor differences in all species of Blaberus (Figs. 1-24) they show family or subfamily differences and their inclusion should be useful in future studies of the genitalia of Blaberidae.

Wherever known I have given locality data for the illustrated specimens, and the identity of the entomologist who determined the species. The abbreviations for the sources of this material are as follows (original geographical source, if known, follows the abbreviations in the explanation of figures): $(N)=$ Natick culture; $($ ANSP $)=$ Academy Natural Sciences, Philadelphia; $(M C Z)=$ Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard University; (L) = Zoological Institute, Lund, Sweden; (AMNH) = American Museum of Natural History; (USNM) = United States National Museum; (BMNH) = British Museum (Natural History). Slides of genitalia are deposited with their respective males in the above museums.

## Results and Discussion

The male genitalia of Blaberidae consist of 3 main structures (McKittrick's, 1964 terminology). The right phallomere ( $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ ) (Figs. I-I2) is a retractable hook, and all the species have a subapical incision (Fig. 2, arrow). The median sclerite (L2vm) is solidly attached (in Blaberus spp.) to L2d (L2 dorsal $=$ the virga, penis, or aedeagus) (Fig. 52). The prepuce (mantle of Hebard's, 1917 terminology) is a soft, flexible membrane (Fig. 52) bearing characteristic spines, or truncate or rounded sclerotized elevations. The left side of the prepuce is solidly attached by sclerotization to the side of the $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ phallomere, whereas the right side is usually connected by a flexible membrane which permits it to fold partly around the virga. One of the sclerites ( $L_{I}$ ) (Figs. 13-24) of the left phallomere in all the species of Blaberus studied are more or less similar and have a heavily sclerotized cleft, noted by McKittrick ( i964).

Based on body size, color, and shape of the pronotum, Hebard (1931) placed fusiformis, brasilianus, anisitsi, and scutatus in the Brasilianus Group of the genus. Princis (1946) divided 8 species into the Giganteus and Atropos Groups, basing his divisions on the shape of L2d and the spines present on the prepuce. He did not examine the genitalia of minor, brasilianus, fusiformis, and scutatus, but suggested that the Brasilianus Group, established by Hebard, probably should be included in the Atropos Group. As a result of my examination of the 4 species not investigated by Princis, I believe Hebard was correct in erecting the Brasilianus Group and I place the above 4 species in this group.

Species of Blaberus can be readily placed in their respective Groups, by the shape of the virga and preputial spines. However, variation is such that specific determination is often difficult. The 3 Blaberus Groups may be distinguished in the following key:
I. L2d recurved dorsally and slightly to the right, usually forming a hooklike structure (Fig. 52). Extending dorsally and laterally on the left, about where L2vm and L2d are solidly joined, is a sclerotized tumorlike outgrowth (Fig, 52, 'T). There are no large truncate elevations and all of the spines on the preputial membrane are relatively small (Figs. 28-40, 47-57, 62-74, 215)

Giganteus Group.
L2d not hookshaped. Tumorlike outgrowth on the left side absent. Prepuce with anterior truncate or rounded elevations almost always present on the left, and sometimes on the right side of the preputial membrane


Figs. 1-12. Right hooked phallomere (R2) of Blaberus spp. 1-2. Giganteus Group. 1. B. craniifer. (ANSP), Juxtlahuaca Cave, Colotlipa, Mexico (det. as B. trapezoideus by Rehn). 2. B. giganteus. (ANSP), Muzo, Colombia (arrow indicates the subapical incision). 3-6. Brasilianus Group. 3. B. scutatus. (ANSP), Ceara Mirim, Rio Grande do Norte, Brazil. 4. B. fusiformis. (ANSP), Provincia Sara, Dept Vera Cruz, Bolivia. 5. B. brasilianus. (ANSP), Natal, Brazil. 6. B. minor. (ANSP), Mission Tacaaglé, Formosa, Argentina (det. Hebard). 7-10. Atropos Group. 7. B. atropos. (MCZ), Mexico. 8. B. parabolicus. (N), Ecuador. 9. B. discoidalis. (N), Panama. 10. B. boliviensis. (L), Guayaquil, Ecuador (det. Princis). 11-12. Brazilianus Group. 11. B. colosseus. (ANSP), Fyzabad, Trinidad. 12. B. sp. $D$ (probably colosseus). (ANSP), St. Laurent du Maroni, French Guiana (from specimen shown in Fig. 208). (all to scale shown in Fig. 12).


Figs. 13-24. Left phallomere (L1) of Blaberus spp. 13-14. Giganteus Group. 13. B. giganteus. (ANSP), Muzo, Colombia. 14. B. craniifer (ANSP), Juxtlahuaca Cave, Colotlipa, Mexico (det. as B. trapezoideus by Rehn). 15-18, 22. Brasilianus Group. 15. B. brasilianus. (ANSP), Natal, Brazil. 16. B. scutatus. (ANSP), Ceara Mirim, Rio Grande do Norte, Brazil. 17. B. minor. (ANSP), Villa Ana F.C.S.F., Argentine Republic (det. Hebard). 18. B. fusiformis. (ANSP), Santa Cruz de la Sierra, Bolivia (det. Hebard). 19-21, 23, 24. Atropus Group. 19. B. parabolicus. (N), Ecuador. 20. B. discoidalis (N), Panama. 21. B. atropus. (N), Trinidad. 22. B. colosseus. (ANSP), Caparo, Trinidad (det. Hebard). 23. B. anisitsi. (L), (det. Princis). 24. B. boliviensis. (L), Guayaquil, Ecuador (det. Princis). (all to scale shown in Fig. 18).
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Fig. 25. Upper. Tegmen of B. craniifer showing the distribution of the long setae on the marginal and scapular fields. Lower. Anterior view of part of the tegmen showing the characteristic hairlike setae.
2. Anterior elevations usually rounded, present on the left and often on the right sides. Differences in size between anterior elevations on the right and left sides not great. Preputial spines numerous, usually on the left and right sides and often occur in more than a single row (Figs. 76, 77, 79-82, 84-91, 93-1 II 211-214). In colosseus the left preputial spines usually occur in a single row (Figs. 116-129) ............... Brasilianus Group.
Truncate or rounded elevations usually present only on the left side and generally much larger and more robust than spines on the right. Preputial spines usually less numerous than in the Brasilianus Group, and are often arranged (when present) in a single row on the left, and single or sometimes double or partial double row on the right. Spines on the right side usually more numerous than on the left (Figs. 133-153, 155-170, 174198, 200, 201, 203, 204, 210) ................... Atropos Group.
Giganteus Group. - Two species of Blaberus (giganteus, Figs. 26, 27 ; craniifer, Figs. 4 1-46, 58-61) belong to this group. A useful tegminal character which Princis (1946) described can be used to distinguish $B$. craniifer from all other species of the genus. In craniifer the marginal field and scapular field of the tegmina have diffuse projecting hairs (Fig. 25). According to Princis, no other


Figs. 26-40. B. giganteus (Giganteus Group). 26. (N). 27. (USNM), St. Jean, French Guiana (det. as B. colosseus by Hebard) (scale $=10 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). 28-40. L2d and prepuce (all to scale shown in Fig. 34). 28. (USNM) (from specimen shown in Fig. 27). 29. (USNM), Cabima, Panama. 30. (USNM), Ft. Clayton, Canal Zone. 31. (AMNH), Barro Colorado Island, Canal Zone. 32. (USNM), Chilibrillo Cave, Buenos Aires, Canal Zone. 33. (USNM), Puerto Berrio, Colombia. 34-35. (ANSP), Muzo, Colombia. 36. (USNM), Atlantico, Colombia. 37. (AMNH), Colombia. 38. (AMNH), Caripito, Venezuela. 39-40. (N).


Figs. +1-57. B. craniifer (Giganteus Group). 41-46. Adult males (scale $=10 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). 4. (USNM), Cordoba, Mexico (det. as B. trapezoideus by Rehn). 42. (N). 43. (USNM), Rancho Qemado, Rt. 85, Mexico. 44. (USNM), Teapa, Tabasco, Mexico. 45. (USNM), Key West, Florida. 46. (N). 47-57. L2d and prepuce (all to scale shown in Fig. 51). 47. (USNM), Mexico (from specimen shown in Fig. 41). 48. (USNM), Mexico

Blaberus has this character, although I have seen a few very minute hairs in some specimens of B. giganteus.

In craniifer the tumorlike sclerotized outgrowth on the left side of L2 extends caudally for a short distance and usually merges gradually into the border of the prepuce where small spines begin and form a fringe around the membrane. The sclerotized extension of the lateral outgrowth varies somewhat in length but is generally distinct (Figs. 47-51, 53-57, 62-64, 66-74). Exceptions are seen in Fig. 52 and 65. In B. giganteus there is usually little or no sclerotized extension from the tumorlike outgrowth into the preputial membrane, so that the preputial spines begin more abruptly at the outgrowth (Figs. 28-35, 38-40). Exceptions to this are shown in Fig. 36 and 37. In both species the preputial spines may occur in more than a single row, and in some individuals there may be a reduction in the number of preputial spines (Figs. 37, 65, 70, 74).

If Princis' ( 1946 ) tegminal character is valid for B. craniifer then I have not seen any correctly determined specimens of $B$. trapezoideus. All the specimens determined by Hebard or Rehn as trapezoideus (Figs. 4I, 58-6I) have hairy tegmina and I therefore consider them to be light forms of B. craniifer. The genitalia of these "trapezoideus" (e.g., Figs. $47,63,64,66$ ) are indistinguishable from craniifer. Two specimens identified as $B$. trapezoideus, received from the University Zoological Museum, Copenhagen, Denmark were actually B. craniifer (Costa Rica) and B. parabolicus (Peru). According to Princis (1946) the preputial spines of trapezoideus are similar to giganteus but are smaller and more numerous, though always clearly separated from each other. Considering the variation in size, number, and spacing of preputial spines I doubt if this character can be used to distinguish trapezoideus from giganteus. Princis also (1958) states that the pronotum of trapezoideus is laterally truncated with approximately parallel sides. Some individuals of our light-phased form of craniifer (in culture) (Fig. 42) also have the pronotum laterally truncate. It is possible that trapezoideus and craniifer are simply variants of the same species. The type localities of the 2 spp . of the
(from specimen shown in Fig. 43). 49. (USNM), Vera Cruz, Mexico. 50. (USNM), Tuxtepec, Oaxaca, Mexico (labelled trapezoideus). 51. (USNM), Mexico (from specimen shown in Fig. 44). 52. (MCZ), Chichén Itzá, Yucatan, Mexico. 53. (USNM), El Salvador. 54. (USNM), San Salvador, El Salvador. 55. (USNM), Benque Viejo, British Honduras. 56. (MCZ), Colón, Panama. (Abbreviations for Fig. 52. L2d $=$ dorsal left phallomere; L2vm $=$ ventromedial left sclerite (fused to L2d); $\mathrm{P}=$ prepuce; $\mathrm{T}=$ tumorlike outgrowth.)
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Figs. 58-74. B. craniifer (Giganteus Group). 58-61. Adult males (scale $=10 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). 58. (ANSP), Guatemala. 59. (ANSP), Vera Cruz, Mexico. 60. (ANSP). 61. (ANSP), Juxtlahuaca Cave, Colotlipa, Mexico. (These 4 males were determined as $B$. trapezoideus by Rehn, or Hebard.) 62. (MCZ), Havana, Cuba (det. Rehn). 63. (USNM), Santiago-Vegas, Cuba. 64. (ANSP) (from specimen shown in Fig. 60). 65. (AMNH), Turrialba, Costa Rica. 66. (ANSP) (from specimen shown in Fig. 61). 67. (MCZ), Havana, Cuba. 68-69. (MCZ), Colón, Panama. 70. (N). 71. (MCZ). 72. (ANSP), San Miguel, Vera Paz, Guatemala (det. as B. colosseus by Hebard). 73. (USNM), Florida (from specimen shown in Fig. 45). 74. ( N )

Glganteus Group are: giganteus - "America"; craniifer - Cuba. (see addendum regarding trapezoideus).

Although color markings are variable in several species of Blaberus, they are especially so in B. craniifer. This species is represented by light (Figs. 41-43) and dark (Figs. 45, 46) forms with intermediates (Fig. 44) connecting the extremes. Markings of light phased individuals resemble B. giganteus (cf. Figs. 26, 27). Lefeuvre (1960) has described some color varieties which occurred in his laboratory culture of craniifer and we have cultures of both light and dark forms which crossed successfully. Lefeuvre claims that rearing craniifer for a number of years favored the formation of an "artificial subspecies" which differed from the original in I) coloration of the pronotum, 2) general coloration, in particular the male, and 3) the morphology of the penis and prepuce. Lefeuvre suggested that the original $B$. craniifer may have hybridized with a closely related species. The variations in craniifer which Lefeuvre described can be seen in museum specimens from different geographic localities. I have never seen any dark forms of B. giganteus comparable to dark craniifer.

Brasilianus Group. - The 5 species in this Group, namely, scutatus (Fig. 75), brasilianus (Fig. 78), fusiformis (Fig. 83), minor (Fig. 92), and colosseus (Figs. II2-115), show some genitalic differences in L2d and preputial spines but variation is so great within 4 of these species (Figs. 76, 77, 79-82, 84-91, 93-111), that specific determinations, using genitalia alone, are often impossible. In some individuals of fusiformis (Figs. 85-87, 89, 91) and minor (Figs. 94-95, I00, 106) there is a marked reduction or loss of preputial spines and they may occur in a single row, usually on the left side.

Characteristic of this group is the anterior elevations which are generally fused on the right side, and are about the same size as those on the left. Rarely are the anterior spines on the right larger than those on the left (e.g., Figs. 84, 85, 88). The preputial spines decrease only slightly in size from the anterior to posterior position. When the spines are numerous and occur in more than a single row they are often closely spaced and form a more or less dense uniform fringe around the preputial membrane (e.g., Figs. 76, 77, 79-82, 88, 90, 93, 97, 99, іоІ, 105).

Hebard ( 1921, p. 148) stated, "From a study of the material in the Philadelphia collections, as well as specimens recently received from the Guianas, we are finally convinced that B. colosseus (Illiger) was based on a mere individual variation of giganteus, unworthy of nominal recognition." Princis (1963) followed Hebard and listed


Figs. 75-82. Brasilianus Group. 75-77. B. scutatus. 75-76. (ANSP), Pernambo, Brazil (paratype of B. scutata var. obscura S. and Z.). 77. (ANSP), Ceara Mirim, Rio Grande do Norte, Brazil. 78-82. B. brasilianus. 78-79. (ANSP), Natal, Brazil. 80. (ANSP), Independencia, Parahybo, Brazil. 81. (MCZ), Brazil. 82. (USNM), Natal, Brazil. (Scale for adults $=10 \mathrm{~mm}$; all genitalia to scale shown in Fig. 79.)


Figs. 83-91. B. fusiformis (Brasilianus Group). 83. Adult male (scale $=10 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). (ANSP), Santa Cruz de la Sierra, Bolivia (det. Hebard). 84-91. L2d and prepuce (all to scale shown in Fig. 85). 84. (ANSP), Carumbo, Matto Grosso, Brazil (a portion of the prepuce on the right side is missing). 85. (ANSP), San Francisco, Argentina. 86. (ANSP), Provincia Sara, Dept. Vera Cruz, Bolivia (det. Hebard). 87. (ANSP), Bolivia (from specimen shown in Fig. 83). 88. (ANSP), Provincia Sara, Dept. Vera Cruz, Bolivia. 89. (ANSP), Jundiahy, Brazil. 90. (USNM), Utiariti Rio, Matto Grosso, Brazil. 91. (ANSP).


Figs. 92-107. B. minor (Brasilianus Group). 92. Adult male (scale $=$ 10 mm ). (ANSP), Argentina (det. Hebard). 93-107. L2d and prepuce (all to scale shown in Fig. 93). 93. (ANSP), Paraguay. 94. (USNM), Natal, Brazil. 95. (USNM), Brooklin, São Paulo, Brazil. 96. (ANSP), Mission Tacaaglé, Formosa, Argentina. 97-98. (ANSP), Formosa, Argentina. 99. (ANSP), Argentina (from specimen shown in Fig. 92). 100-102. (ANSP), Chaco del Santiago del Estero Bords du Rio Selado Environs D'Icaño, Argentina. 103. (ANSP), Formosa, Argentina. 104. (ANSP). 105. (ANSP), Chaco de Santiago del Estero Rio Salado, Argentina. 106-107. (ANSP), Gran Chaco, Argentina.


Figs. 108-111. Brasilianus Group. Right and left sides of the prepuce. 108. B. scutatus (from Fig. 76). 109. B. brasilianus (from Fig. 79). 110. B. minor (from Fig. 99). 111. B. fusiformis (from Fig. 87). (scale $=0.1$ mm ).


Figs. 112-122. B. colosseus (Brasilianus Group). 112-115. Adult males (scale $=10 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). 112. (ANSP), Caparo, Trinidad. (This specimen is Fig. 4 in Hebard, 1916.) 113. (ANSP), Fyzabad, Trinidad. 114. (ANSP), Caparo, Trinidad. (This specimen is Fig. 5 in Hebard, 1916.) 115. (MCZ), Mexico. 116-122. L2d and prepuce (scale $=0.2 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). 116. (ANSP) (from specimen shown in Fig. 112). 117. (ANSP) (from specimen shown in Fig. 113). 118. (MCZ) (from specimen shown in Fig. 115). 119. (MCZ), Mexico. 120. (USNM), Trinidad. 121-122. (ANSP) (from specimen shown in Fig. 114; L2d and prepuce have been mounted separately).


Figs. 123-129. B. colosseus (Brasilianus Group). 123. (AMNH), Trinidad. 124. (USNM), Trinidad (some of the anterior elevations on the left side are broken off). 125. (AMNH), Rancho Grande, near Maracay, Venezuela. 126-129. Right and left sides of the prepuce. 126. (MCZ) (from Fig. 119). 127 (ANSP) (from Fig. 116). 128. (ANSP) (from Fig. 117). 129. (MCZ) (from Fig. 118) (scale $=0.2 \mathrm{~mm}$ ).


Figs. 130-141. B. atropos (Atropos Group). 130-1j2. Adult males (scale $=10 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). 130. (N), Trinidad. 131. (MCZ), Mexico. 132. (USNM), Colombia (taken in quarantine on bananas at Charleston, S.C.). 133-141. L2d and prepuce (all to scale shown in Fig. 140). 133. (MCZ) (from specimen shown in Fig. 131). 134. (USNM) (from specimen shown in Fig. 132). 135. (USNM), Georgetown, British Guiana. 136-138. (USNM), Trinidad. 139-141. (N), Trinidad.


Figs. 142-153. Prepuce of B. atropos. From Natick culture which originated in Trinidad (all to scale shown in Fig. 142).


Figs. 154-165. B. parabolicus (Atropos Group). 154. Adult male. (N), Puraquequara, Rio Negro, Amazonas, Brazil (scale $=10 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). 155-165. L2d and prepuce (all to scale shown in Fig. 158). 155. (AMNH), Colombia. 156. (MCZ), Napo or Marañon (Ecuador or Peru, northern Andes). (Type specimen of Blabera armigera Scudder.) 157. (MCZ), Upper Amazon? 158. (USNM), Gavião, Rio Negro, Amazonas, Brazil. 159. (N), Ecuador.

Blaberus colosseus as a synonym of B. gigantens. However, Hebard (1916, p. 292) described the prepuce of colosseus as follows: ". . . The surrounding mantle having the free dorsal and distal margins fringed with small blunt chitinous projections, these longer and more like short blunt teeth of a comb on the sinistral margin." This description does not fit a member of the Giganteus Group. I have examined the male genitalia of several specimens including some which Hebard used in his study and conclude that colosseus is not giganteus. The genitalia of colosseus (Figs. 116-129) differs markedly from that of giganteus (Figs. 28-40). B. colosseus phenotypically resembles giganteus, but it is paler in color (Hebard 1916) ; it is the largest member of the Brasilianns Group, and the only species in this group which in size, color, and markings (some individuals) resembles B. giganteus.

The prepuce of colossens combines features of both the Brasilianns and Atropos Groups. The relatively small anterior elevations on the left side of the prepuce are not much larger than the spines on the right (Figs. 116-120, 122-129) a characteristic of the Brasilianus Group. However, the preputial spines of colossens are all relatively large, fairly widely separated, particularly on the left side, and resemble these spines in the Atropos Group. With few exceptions (Figs. 184, 188) species of the Atropos Group have anterior truncate or rounded elevations on the left side of the prepuce that are much larger and more robust than the spines on the right side (Figs. 133153, 155-170, 174-183, 185-187, 189-198, 200, 201, 203, 204, 210 ).

One specimen from Guatemala, determined as $B$. colosseus by Hebard is actually Blaberus craniifer (Fig. 72). Two specimens (ex Canal Zone and French Guiana) determined by Hebard as colosseus are giganteus (Figs. 27, 28). The specimens which Hebard claimed were colosseus came from Trinidad, Guatemala, Costa Rica, and Panama. I have seen 9 specimens of colosseus; 6 were from Trinidad, 2 from Mexico, and I from Venezuela. One specimen from French Guiana is probably colosseus (Figs. 208, 214). The distribution of this species must await an examination of additional material.

Atropos Group. - Five species, atropos (Figs. 130-132), parabolicus (Fig. 154), discoidalis (Fig. 173), boliviensis (Fig. 199), and anisitsi (Fig. 202) belong to this group.

The armament on the preputial membrane shows the greatest varia-
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Figs. 166-172. B. parabolicus (Atropos Group). L2d and prepuce. The two structures have been mounted separately in Figs. 168-172 (all to scale shown in Fig. 169). 166-167. (USNM), South America. 168-172. (N), Puraquequara, Rio Negro, Amazonas, Brazil.


Figs. 173-188. B. discoidalis (Atropos Group). 173. Adult male (scale $=10 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). (N), Panama. 174-188. L2d and prepuce (all to scale shown in Fig. 177). 174-175. (MCZ), Nicaragua. 176-177. (N), Panama. 178. (USNM), Pontarenas, Costa Rica. 179. (MCZ), Trinidad. 180. (AMNH), Colombia. 181. (USNM), Trinidad. 182. (MCZ), Panama. 183. (AMNH), Barro Colorado, Canal Zone, Panama. 184. (USNM), Colombia (from wild orchids at Hoboken Quarantine). 185. (AMNH), Barro Colorado, Canal Zone, Panama. 186. (USNM), British Guiana. 187. (N), Panama. 188. (AMNH), Puerto Plata, Dominican Republic.
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Figs. 189-198. B. discoidalis (Atropos Group). L2d and prepuce; in 194-198, L2d has been removed (all to scale shown in Fig. 196). 189. (USNM), Ecuador. 190. (USNM), Venezuela. 191. (AMNH), Colombia. 192. (AMNH), labeled "Africa" which is undoubtedly an error. 193-197. (N), Panama. 198. (MCZ).


Figs. 199-204. Blaberus spp. (Atropos Group). Genitalia from the adult specimens shown. 199-201. B. boliviensis. (L), Guayaquil, Ecuador (det. Princis). 202-204. B. anisitsi. (L) (det. Princis). Fig. 201 and 204 are enlargements of the preputial spines of the specimens shown in Fig. 200 and 203 (scale for adults $=10 \mathrm{~mm}$, for genitalia $=0.2 \mathrm{~mm}$ ).
tion in atropos (Figs. 133-153). Princis (1946) stated that the truncate elevation on the left side was 3 -pronged whereas Hebard (1917) stated it was 2 -pronged. These elevations may vary from a single arm (Fig. 152) to one consisting of more than 5 prongs (Figs. 133, 134). More striking is the marked reduction in numbers of the smaller preputial spines in some males. This usually occurs on the left side (Figs. I39-I4I, I44-I53) where there are few spines to begin with but a marked reduction may occur even on the right side (Figs. 141, 153). In some males the spines are completely absent from the left side (Figs. 140, I4I, I47, I49-153).

In parabolicus (Figs. 155-170) most of the spines on the right side of the prepuce are more or less pointed. In some males there is a reduction in number of spines (Figs. 156, 169). Bruijning ( 1959 ) described the genitalia of parabolicus as follows: "At the extreme right of the preputium stout, rounded processes are inserted between the teeth; some of the teeth on the free margin form pairs which are squarely inserted on the margin; sinistrad the teeth are developed in blunt, stout, chitinous processes, while at the extreme left a large bito trilobate process is found . ." An examination of Figs. 155-170 shows that variability is so great that it is impossible to indicate specifically the number of truncate elevations on the left side or the exact arrangement and shapes of the preputial spines on the right.

In B. discoidalis (Figs. 174-198) the truncate elevations on the left usually arise very close to L2vm, extend dorsally, and may even overlap L2vm (Figs. 175-180, 190). Preputial spines are more numerous on the right side, usually are somewhat truncate but sometimes are rounded or pointed at the tips. In some specimens (Figs. 195, 198) the right anterior spines, though smaller, tend to resemble the large elevations of the left side except that they are rarely fused at their bases (Fig. 195). The spines decrease in size distally on the membrane and in some individuals there is a reduction in number, usually on the left side. L2d is variable in size and shape. In a few males the large truncate elevations which are highly variable in number on the left side are poorly defined or fused together (Figs. 182, 184, 188, 191) and sometimes (Fig. 184) are reminiscent of the tumorlike outgrowth on the left side in the Giganteus Group.

According to Princis (1946) the preputial armament is simple and sparse in anisitsi (Figs. 203, 204). His drawing shows a 3-pronged truncate elevation plus 3 bluntly rounded spines on the left side and only 5 smaller pointed spines on the right. The specimen shown in Fig. 203 was the one used by Princis (Fig. 6 in 1946; and identified
by him with reservations) but he did not remove the genitalia from the male; it differs from Princis' description in having a 4 -pronged elevation on the left and more spines on the right (than he figured) terminating anteriorly in 3 spines fused at their bases (Fig. 204).

Hebard stated that the species related to $B$. fusiformis Walker ". . . are poorly understood and the description of fusiformis is vague. If our specimens are correctly determined it is possible that anisitsi is a synonym, based on material showing decided depauperation." Although Hebard included anisitsi in the Brasilianus Group, the specimen identified by Princis as anisitsi (Fig. 202) is clearly a member (by male genitalia, Fig. 203) of the Atropos Group (Princis 1946). If both Princis and Hebard are correct in their determinations anisitsi and fusiformis are obviously not the same species.

Princis (1946) compared the genitalia of boliviensis (Figs. 200, 201) with anisitsi (Figs. 203, 204). According to him the penis in boliviensis is more massive. The spines on the right side start with a bluntly rounded spine, are larger, more numerous and not as widely separated as in anisitsi. On the left side there is a 3-pronged truncate elevation followed by io (according to his drawing) truncate or rounded spines set fairly close together. In the specimen shown in Figs. 200, 201 (not the one illustrated by Princis), the truncate elevations on the left are at least 6 -pronged. No doubt an examination of additional specimens of these 2 species would show as much intraspecific variation as occurs in other species of the Atropos Group.

The truncate elevations on the left side of both anisitsi and boliviensis arise close to L2vm, extend dorsally, and their genitalia closely resemble those of $B$. discoidalis.

Undetermined species. - Several museum specimens were examined whose genitalia and phenotypic appearance did not fall into the known species. These were as follows:

1-2. Blaberus spp. $A$ (Figs. 205, 213) and $B$ (Figs. 206, 211 , 212). - These 2 species from Peru, except for their much smaller size, resemble colossens, particularly in their slender form and pale coloration. The preputial spines of both forms (cf. Figs. 211, 213) differ from each other. The anterior elevations of the left side are not much larger than those on the right, thus resembling the prepuce of colosseus.
3. Blaberus sp. C (Fig. 207). - This specimen from Colombia was identified by Hebard as B. discoidalis. However, it is considerably smaller and more slender than is discoidalis, and phenotypically resembles the specimen identified by Princis as B. anisitsi (Fig. 202). Its genitalia (Fig. 210) are unique (and differ from anisitsi, Fig.


Figs. 205-215. Males of Blaberus spp. The genitalia are from the adult males shown. 205, 213. Blaberus sp. A. (Brasilianus Group) (USNM), Tingo, Maria, Peru. 206, 211, 212. Blaberus sp. B. (Brasilianus Group) (USNM), Tingo, Maria, Peru. (Fig. 212 is an enlargement of the right and left sides of the prepuce shown in Fig. 211.) 207, 210. Blaberus sp. C. (Atropos Group) (USNM), Susumuco, Colombia. (The prepuce in Fig. 210 is broken and the spines on the lower left side normally lie under L2d.) (det. by Hebard as B. discoidalis). 208, 214. Blaberus sp. D. (Brasilianus Group) (ANSP), St. Laurent du Maroni, French Guiana (det. by Hebard as B. giganteus). 209, 215. Blaverus sp. E. (Giganteus Group) (USNM), Borba, Rio Madeira, Amazonas, Brazil. (Scale for adults = 10 mm ; for genitalia $=0.3 \mathrm{~mm}$.)


Fig. 216. Prepuce of Blaberus sp. (Giganteus Group). (MCZ), Andegoya, Colombia. Different portions (brackets) of the prepuce (center) are enlarged to show variations in the spines (scale $=0.1 \mathrm{~mm}$ ).


Figs. 217-220. Blaberus trapezoideus. Pronotum and genitalia. 217-218. (BMNH). Paralectotype of Blabera quadrifera Walker. 219-220. (BMNH). Lectotype of Blabera quadrifera Walker. Oajaca, Vera Cruz, Mexico. 221224. Blaberus craniifer. Variations in the shape of the pronotum in speci-
203) in that all of the spines bordering the prepuce are relatively large and arise from a well defined sclerotized margin; the shapes of the preputial spines also differ between these 2 specimens.
4. Blaberus sp. D (Fig. 208). - This is a species determined by Hebard as B. giganteus (from French Guiana) but its genitalia (Fig. 214) are that of a member of the Brasilianus Group. The truncate elevations on the left side of the prepuce are small and somewhat like those of colosseus, but the other spines are greatly reduced in size and number. This specimen is probably colosseus (though it is somewhat broader and more intensely colored than colosseus from Trinidad and Mexico, (cf. Figs. if2-II5) in which the preputial spines have been greatly reduced (cf. Figs. if 6-i29).
5. Blaberus sp. E (Fig, 209). - This specimen is close to giganteus but is more slender and its general coloration is very pale. Its genitalia (Fig. 215) are massive and there are more rows of preputial spines than are usually found in giganteus (Figs. 28-40).

Distribution. - The species of Blaberus are almost entirely neotropical (Table I). Four of the 5 species of the Brasilianus Group are restricted to South America; colosseus is more widely distributed and occurs in Mexico, Central and South America. Members of the Giganteus and Atropos Groups are found in Central and South America, and a few species occur in the West Indies, southern Florida, and Mexico.

Evolution of the aedeagus and prepuce. - I believe that the prepuce of Gigantcus Group males which lack truncate elevations and have relatively simple, small preputial spines is the most primitive of the 3 groups of Blaberus. However, though the preputial spines are small they may vary in shape (Fig. 2I6) and some spines are reminiscent of those found in the Brasilianus and Atropos Groups. A Giganteus Grouplike form could have given rise to individuals of both the other 2 groups. The preputial spines of the Brasilianus Group are often numerous and may occur in multiple rows (e.g., Figs. 88, 90) like some individuals of the Giganteus Group (e.g., Figs. 36, 47, 215 ). In both the Brasilianus and Atropos Groups, the anterior elevations of the prepuce on the left side probably evolved from the left tumorlike outgrowth of a Giganteus Grouplike form. However, in the Brasilianus Group, the anterior elevations on the left and right sides do not differ greatly in size whereas there is a marked size difference between the elevations on the two sides in the

[^1]Atropos Group. It is of interest that in occasional specimens of $B$. discoidalis (Atropos Group) the anterior elevations on the left side are so poorly developed (Fig. 184) that the lateral swelling of L2d resembles the outgrowth in the Giganteus Group.

In Princis' (1963) linear arrangement of it Blaberus spp., the species minor is separated from other members of the Brasilianus Group by boliviensis and atropos. I would rearrange this sequence and place minor with brasilianus, fusiformis, and scutatus.

Table 1. Geographical distribution of species of B'aberus.

| Species | Distribution ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Giganteus Group craniifer <br> giganteus | Mexico, Guatemala, British Honduras, Honduras Costa Rica, Panama, Venezuela, Cuba, Dominican Republic, Florida (Key West) <br> Mexico, Guatemala, Panama, Colombia, Venezuela, Trinidad, British Guiana, Surinam, French Guiana, Dominican Republic (?) |
| Brasilianus Group brasilianus colosseusc fusiformis minor scutatus | Brazil <br> Trinidad ${ }^{\text {b }}$, Mexico ${ }^{\text {b }}$, Guatemala, Costa Rica, Panama <br> Venezuelab, French Guiana <br> Brazil, Bolivia, Paraguay, Argentina <br> Brazil, Bolivia, Paraguay, Argentina <br> Brazil, Peru |
| Atropos Group anisitsi atropos boliviensis discoidalis <br> parabolicus | Paraguay <br> Trinidad, British Guiana, Chile (?), Colombiab, Mexico ${ }^{\text {b }}$ <br> Bolivia, Ecuadord <br> Costa Ricab, Dominican Republicb, Jamaica, Cuba, Haiti, Vieques Island, Panama, Colombia, Venezuela, Trinidad, Ecuadore, Nicaraguab, Florida (near Key West) ${ }^{\text {f }}$ <br> Colombia, Surinam, Brazil, Peru, Ecuador, Bolivia |

aFrom Princis (1963) unless otherwise indicated; the localities for craniifer include those for trapezoideus.
bFrom present study.
cLocalities from Hebard (1920).
dFrom Princis (1952).
ePrincis lists Ecuador with a ?. I have seen 1 specimen (Fig. 189) from Ecuador.
fFrom A. B. Gurney (personal communication). (Record of USNM and Fla. Plant Board).

Chromosome numbers. - The diploid chromosome numbers of females of 5 species of Blaberus are: giganteus, 74; craniifer, 74; atropos, 74; parabolicus, 40; discoidalis, 38. The males have one less sex chromosome (Cohen and Roth, unpublished data). Evidently members of the Atropos Group have variable chromosome numbers.

Addendum: Since this paper went to press I have examined the lectotype and paralectotype of Blabera quadrifera Walker, which is a synonym of B. trapezoideus Burm. The pronotum of one of these specimens is illustrated by Princis (1958, p. 74). The tegmina of these 2 specimens are hairy, as they are in $B$. craniifer, and their genitalia (Figs. 218, 220) are indistinguishable from those of craniifer (cf. Figs. 47-57, 62-74). As for the laterally truncate pronotum of trapezoideus (Figs. 217, 219), the pronotal shape is so variable in light colored craniifer (Figs. 221-224) that this character cannot be used to distinguish the 2 species. I believe that trapezoideus (type locality Mexico) is the light colored form of craniifer. Both species were described by Burmeister (Handb. Ent. 2 (2), Berlin, 1838, p. 516). Because B. craniifer has been used widely as an experimental animal I select it as the valid name for this species.

## Summary

Based on the structure of the prepuce and aedeagus, species of Blaberus are placed in the following three groups: 1) Giganteus Group (giganteus, craniifer), 2) Brasilianus Group (minor, brasilianus, colosseus, fusiformis, scutatus), and 3) Atropos Group (atropos, parabolicus, discoidalis, anisitsi, and boliviensis).

I consider Blaberus trapezoideus to be a synonym of $B$. craniifer, and $B$. colosseus, formerly a synonym of $B$. giganteus, to be a valid species.

The genitalia are sufficiently distinctive to place individuals in their respective groups. However, intraspecific variation of the genitalia is so great that it is difficult and sometimes impossible to distinguish between certain species of a Group.

Three of the 5 species in the Atropos Group have variable chromosome numbers of 74,40 , and 38 .

## Acknowledgements

I thank the following for the loan of Museum material: Dr. E. M. Emsley, Academy of Natural Sciences, Philadelphia, Dr. A. B. Gurney, U. S. National Museum, Dr. Howard Evans, Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard University, Dr. Karl Princis, Zoological Institution, Lund University, Sweden, Dr. Jerome G. Rozen, Jr.,

American Museum of Natural History, Dr. S. L. Tuxen, Zoological Museum, Copenhagen and Dr. David R. Ragge, British Museum (Natural History), London. I am indebted to Miss Johanna Darlington, University of West Indies, Trinidad, who sent me living atropos from which our culture was started. I collected live specimens of B. parabolicus and other species (preserved) during Phase C of the Alpha Helix expedition to the Amazon in 1967. I thank the National Science Foundation for support on the Amazon expedition under Grant NSF-GB-5916. I am grateful to Mr. Samuel Cohen for taking the photographs.

## References

Bruijning, C. F. A.
1959. The Blattidae of Surinam. Studies of the fauna of Suriname and other Guyanas. Vol. 2: 1-103.
Hebard, M.
1916. Critical notes on certain species of the genus Blaberus (Orthoptera, Blattidae). Entomol. News, 27: 289-96.
1917. The Blattidae of North America, north of the Mexican boundary. Mem. Amer. Entomol. Soc. No. 2: 1-284.
1920. The Blattidae of Panama. Mem. Amer. Entomol. Soc. No. 4: 1-148 (1919).
1921. Studies in the Dermaptera and Orthoptera of Colombia. Second paper. Dermaptera and orthopterous families Blattidae, Mantidae and Phasmidae. Trans. Amer. Entomol. Soc. 47: 107-169.
1931. Die Ausbeute der deutschen Chaco-Expedition 1925/26. - Orthoptera. Konowia, 10: 257-285.
Lefeuvre, J. C.
1960. A propos de Blabera craniifer Burmeister 1838 (Insecte dictyoptère). Bull. Soc. Scient. Bretagne, 35: 145-161.
McKittrick, F. A.
1964. Evolutionary studies of cockroaches. Cornell Univ. Agric. Exp. Sta. Memoir 389: 1-197.
Princis, K.
1946. Zur Kenntnis der Gattung Blaberus Serv. (Blatt.). Opusc. Entomol. 11: 139-146.
1952. Reports of the Lund University Chile Expedition 1948-1949. 8. Blattariae. Kungl. Fysiogr. Sällsk. Handling. 63: 1-11.
1958. Revision der Walkerschen und Kirbyschen Blattarientypen im British Museum of Natural History, London II. Opusc. entomol. 23: 59-75.
1963. Orthopterorum Catalogus. Blattariae. Pars 4: 76-172. s'-Gravenhage.
Quiaort, E. R.
1961. An investigation of growth, development and dimorphism in cockroaches (Orthoptera: Blattidae). Doctoral Dissertation, Kansas State University.
Rehn, J. A. G. and M. Hebard
1927. The Orthoptera of the West Indies. Number 1. Blattidae. Bull. Amer. Mus. Nat. Hist. 54: 1-320.


[^0]:    160. (N), Borba, Rio Madeira, Amazonas, Brazil. 161. (AMNH), Iquitos, Peru. 162. (AMNH), Rio Ucayali, Peru. 163. (AMNH), Moyobamoa, San Martin, Peru. 164. (AMNH), Rio Ucayali, Peru. 165. (AMNH), Rio Marañon, Peru.
[^1]:    mens from a laboratory culture. (Scale for pronotum [see Fig. 224] = 5 mm .; scale for genitalia [see Fig. 218] $=0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ ).

